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## Aneurysm Growth and Rupture: Unanswered Questions

If aneurysms $<10 \mathrm{~mm}$ rarely rupture, why do clinical series always demonstrate that most ruptured aneurysms are $<10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ?

Why did this aneurysm rupture?


And this one didn't?


## Predicting Rupture: Geometry

## Presently: size (maximum dimension) is used

 Is shape also an important factor?O Single-lobe vs, multi-lobular
Neck-to-height ratio
Ratio of neck to maximum diameterRegular vs. irregular

## Specific Aims of the Current Project

- Use anatomically realistic 3D geometry
- Geometrical quantification: local and global geometrical features from CTAMRA/DSA 3-D mesh analysis
- Hemodynamic simulation: simulation of blood flow in anatomically realistic cerebral vasculature and aneurysms
$\theta$ Correlate geometry and biomechanics


## Study Population

Ө CTA/MRA/DSA reconstructed human cerebral aneurysms along with the surrounding vasculature

- Analyze ruptured and unruptured aneurysms
- Hypothetical, axisymmetric models used to evaluate and validate the different indices.


## Part 1: Quantifying Geometry

Ө Geometry
$\theta$ Size (objective) and shape (subjective)
$\theta$ Quantifying geometry: numerical rather than descriptive

- Global size indices: surface area, volume, maximum diameter
$\theta$ Global shape indices
- Local and global curvature indices


## Quantifying Geometry: Overview

- Acquire 3-D digital data from CTA/MRA/DSA
$\theta$ Develop algorithms for surface mesh refinement
- Isolate the aneurysm sac

Ө Quantify aneurysm volume and surface area

- Quantify aneurysm curvature

Ө Quantify other size and shape indices

## Mesh Refinement

## Raw



## Isolating the Aneurysm



## Pinal Aneurysm Mesh for Analysis



## Convex Hull of Aneurysm

Convex Hull: The smallest encompassing surface that is convex at all points

Convex Hull


## Dstimation of Principal Curvatures



Hamann, B. (1993). Curvature approximation for triangulated surfaces. in Geometric Modeling. G. F. e. al, Springer-Verlag: 139-153.


Negative, Zero and Positive Gaussian Curves

## Mean and Gaussian Curvatures



Initial estimation


Refined 2 times by Contextual Peer Review technique

## 1 and 2 Dimensional Quantified Geometrical Indices

© 1-D size indices:
Height (H)
Maximum Diameter $\left(\mathrm{D}_{\max }\right)$
Neck Diameter ( $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}}$ )
© 2-D shape indices:
Aspect Ratio:

$$
A R=H / D_{n}
$$

Bottleneck Factor:

$$
B F=D_{\max } / D_{n}
$$

Bulge Location:

$$
B L=H_{b} / H
$$

## 3 Dimensional

## Quantified Geometrical Indices

$\theta$ Size Indices
$\theta$ Surface Area - sum of triangles


- Shape Indices

OConvexity Ratio - CR $\quad C R=\frac{V}{V_{C H}}$
Ө Inversely proportional to irregularity

OIsoperimetric Ratio - IPR $I P R=\frac{S}{V^{2 / 3}}$
Ө Proportional to Irregularity


## Testing on Hypothetical and Real Aneurysms



A1
CR: 0.98
IPR: 4.11


Hemisphere CR: 1
IPR: 3.84


A2
CR: 0.98
IPR: 4.15

1/2 Ellipsoid CR: 1
IPR: 4.13



A3
CR: 0.88
IPR: 4.68


A4
CR: 0.97
IPR: 4.61


A5
CR: 0.96
IPR: 4.30

$3 / 4$ Sphere CR: 1
IPR: 4.05


3/4 Ellipsoid CR: 1
IPR: 4.57

## Ruptured vs. Unruptured Aneurysms

$\theta$
Blinded analysis of ruptured and unuptured aneurysms asking which indices reliably predicted ruptured or unruptured state

O Two-tailed Student's t-test: $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
O ROC (Receiver Operating Characteristics) analysis: sensitivity vs. 1-specificity

OMeasure of predictive value - the more deviation from null, the better

## ROC Curves for Geometrical Indices

ROC for 3D Geometrical Indices



ROC for 1-D and 2-D Geometrical Indices


- null
- H
$\triangle$ Dmax
$\times \quad \mathrm{Dn}$
- AR
- BF BL


## Order of Predictive Capabilities

| Order | ROC <br> deviation from null | Index | Type | $p$ value | $p<0.05$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.33 | Isoperimetric Ratio | 3D, shape | 0.002 | TRUE |
| 2 | 0.32 | Gaussian Curvature | 3D, shape | 0.015 | TRUE |
| 3 | 0.31 | Convexity Ratio | 3D, shape | 0.001 | TRUE |
| 4 | 0.30 | Mean Curvature | 3D, shape | 0.007 | TRUE |
| 5 | 0.22 | Aspect Ratio | 2D, shape | 0.018 | TRUE |
| 6 | 0.12 | Neck Diameter | 1D, size | 0.318 | FALSE |
| 7 | 0.11 | Bottleneck Factor | 2D, shape | 0.065 | FALSE |
| 8 | 0.10 | Bulge Location | 2D, shape | 0.517 | FALSE |
| 9 | 0.08 | Height | 1D, size | 0.207 | FALSE |
| 10 | 0.06 | Volume | 3D, size | 0.297 | FALSE |
| 11 | 0.06 | Maximum Diameter | 1D, size | 0.910 | FALSE |
| 12 | 0.02 | Surface Area | 3D, size | 0.274 | FALSE |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Summary: Geometric Predictors

- Shape indices are better predictors of rupture than size indices

Ө All 3D shape indices show statistically significant differences between the ruptured and unruptured group, while no size indices show significant differences
$\theta$ The results by ROC and Student's t-test agree well in finding good predictors of rupture

## Part 2: Hemodynamics

## Use refined 3D models

## Assumptions



Contours of Static Pressure (pascal) (Time=1.6118e+00) $\begin{aligned} & \text { FLUENT } 6.1 \text { (3d, segregated, lam, unsteady) }\end{aligned}$

## Pulsatile Flow in the Circle of Willis: Static Pressure



Systolic phase


Contours of Static Pressure (pascal) (Time=1.8205e+00) FLUENT 6.1 (3d, segregated, lam, unsteady)

## Diastolic phase

## Pulsatile Flow in the Circle of Willis: Shear Stress



Contours of Wall Shear Stress (pascal) (Time=1.7205e+00) Aug 18, 2004 FLUENT 6.1 (3d, segregated, lam, unsteady)

Systolic phase


Contours of Wall Shear Stress (pascal) (Time=1.8205e+00) Aug 18, 2004 FLUEN $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug 18, } 2004 \\ & 6.1 \text { (3d, segregated, lam, unsteady) }\end{aligned}$

## Diastolic phase

## Pulsatile Flow in the Circle of Willis: Velocity Vector




Velocity Vectors Colored By Velocity Magnitude (m/s) (Time=1.8205e+00) Aug 19, 2004 Aug 19, 2004
FLUENT 6.1 (3d, segregated, Iam, unsteady)

Diastolic phase

## Pulsatile Flow in the Circle of Willis: Pathlines



Systolic phase


Diastolic phase

## Pulsatile Hlow in a Basilar Artery Aneurysm



Contours of Static Pressure (pascal) (Time=1.6118e+00)

Static Pressure


Shear Stress

## Pulsatile Flow in a Basilar Artery Aneurysm



Velocity Vector


Velocity Magnitude

## Pulsatile Flow in a Basilar Artery Aneurysm

Pathlines at maximum velocity


## Pulsatile Flow in a Side-Wall Aneurysm

## Pulsatile Ilow in a Side-Wall Aneurysm

Static Pressure


## Velocity <br> Vector

Shear
Stress


## Particle Residence Time

- Particle Residence Time was defined as the time interval from first entry into the aneurysm sac until last exiting from it
- Most particles enter the aneurysm sac only once, while some may cross the neck (cutting) plane multiple times



## Summary: Hemodynamics

- The 3D flow field in the circle is very complex.

Ө There is little mixing among flow fields supplied by the input arteries.
$\Theta$ Pressure is the dominant hemodynamic load on aneurysm - shear stress is no more than $1 \%$ of pressure load.

- The maximum shear stress value can be larger than that regarded to cause endothelial damage.
- 3D vortices form inside all aneurysms.
- The velocity vector field varies very little during the cardiac cycle.
$\theta$ Average particle residence times inside saccular aneurysms is $<0.2 \mathrm{~s}$.


## Aneurysm Wall Thickness

## Linking thickness with curvature



## Summary of the Project to Date

$\Theta$ Combined geometry-biomechanics modeling methodology
$\theta$ The geometrical analysis demonstrates that shape is more closely correlated with rupture than size

- NIH RO1 grant supported prospective study at Penn State, University of Iowa, MGH and Jefferson is underway
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